

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,486	06/24/2003	James W. Horwitz	15436.250.13.1.1	1103
22913	7590 06/21/2004		EXAMINER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			WONG, ERIC K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,486	HORWITZ, JAMES W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric Wong	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	application Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.						
						7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,486 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 5,757,993 to Abe.

Abe discloses in figure 2, an optical system comprising a wavelength division module having at least one lens (GRIN) optically coupled to at least one diffraction grating (the GRIN lens is a graded refractive index lens); and at least one optical fiber opticall coupled to said wavelength division module (24), said at least one optical fiber including an end portion, in close proximity to said wavelength division module, having an expanded core diameter portion (17).

As to claim 2, the fibers inherently have an input and output.

As to claim 5, Abe discloses in column 3, line 43 an expanded core diameter of 10 micrometers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2874

4. Claims 3, 6-8 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of applicant's disclose of prior art.

Abe discloses an optical system with an input optical fiber separated from an end of an output optical fiber (figures 4 and 5), but fails to explicitly disclose a defined "fill factor" for a wavelength of an optical signal propagating along at last one of said fibers.

Applicant discloses in paragraphs 5 and 8, the equation and definition of said "fill factor".

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that Abe's optical system would exhibit a defined "fill factor", since it is made with an input fiber set at a distance from the output fiber.

As to claims 7-8, figures 2-3 show a single mode optical fiber with tapered core regions having a first and second diameter.

As to claims 12-13, Abe discloses in column 1, lines 43-45, the use of the optical system in a WDM device.

5. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of an optimal value.

Abe discloses an expanded core diameter, but fails to explicitly disclose one that is in the range as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the size of the expanded core, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

6. Claims 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of common knowledge in the art.

JEST

Application/Control Number: 10/602,486 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

Abe discloses an input and an output tapered optical fiber spaced at a distance with a lens/grating inbetween and the need to move the optical fibers for alignment purposes (column 4, lines 2-14).

Mechanical mounts or similar devices are well known in the art to be used for alignment and placement of optical fibers and optical components.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to use a mount or similar device in order to move the optical fibers of Abe in order to better align the optical fibers to reduce coupling losses and spherical aberrations.

As to claim 15, a TEC (thermally expanded core) is disclosed in column 3, line 21).

7. Claims 10, 11, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe as applied to claim 6 and 14 above, in view of applicant's disclosure of prior art and further in view of determination of an optimal value.

Abe discloses an optical system with an input optical fiber separated from an end of an output optical fiber (figures 4 and 5), but fails to explicitly disclose a defined "fill factor" for a wavelength of an optical signal propagating along at last one of said fibers with the values as claimed.

Applicant discloses in paragraphs 5 and 8, the equation and definition of said "fill factor". It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the size of the expanded core, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/602,486

Art Unit: 2874

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

a. United States Patent Number 5,926,298 to Li for an optical

multiplexer/demultiplexer with an expanded core portion.

b. United States Patent Number 6,542,665 to Reed et al for a GRIN lens that has an

expanded core.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-2363. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 571-272-2344. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EW

Primary Examiner

Page 5